

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the Examiner's first Office Action of February 6, 2006 the Applicant respectfully submits the accompanying Terminal Disclaimer, Amendment to the specification and claims, and the below Remarks.

Regarding Amendment

In the Amendment:

the present specification is amended to update the attorney docket numbers and serial numbers referred to therein, as required by the Examiner;

independent claim 1 is amended to replace the recitation "and the cartridge" with --from the cartridge--, and to specify that the cartridge incorporates at least one removable printing fluid cartridge. Support for this amendment can be found at page 13, lines 1-12 of the present specification and in pending claims 3 and 5;

dependent claim 2 is amended to replace the recitation "a" in line 2 with --the--, as required by the Examiner;

dependent claim 3 is cancelled in accordance with the amendment of claim 1;

dependent claims 4 and 5 are amended to conform with amended claim 1;

dependent claim 14 is amended to replace the recitation "following its passage" with --following passage of the printed media--; and

dependent claims 6-13 and 15-23 are unchanged.

It is respectfully submitted that the Amendment does not add any new matter to the present application.

Regarding Claim Objections

Regarding Claim 1

It is respectfully submitted that the above-described amendment to claim 1 to replace the recitation "and the cartridge" with --from the cartridge--, provides the correction required by the Examiner.

Regarding Claim 2

It is respectfully submitted that the above-described amendment to claim 14 to replace the recitation "following its passage" with --following passage of the printed media--, provides the correction required by the Examiner.

Regarding Claim 7

It is respectfully submitted that one of ordinary skill in the art clearly understands what "JPEG files" stands for, and therefore pending claim 7 is clear contrary to the Examiner's contention.

Regarding Claim 14

It is respectfully submitted that the above-described amendment to claim 2 to replace the recitation "a" in line 2 with --the--, provides the correction required by the Examiner.

Regarding Drawing Objections

It is respectfully submitted that one of ordinary skill in the art would clearly understand from the claimed invention and the description and drawings of the present application, that the claimed "digital processor" corresponds to the electrical circuitry/drive

electronics 62 and print engine controller integrated circuits, described at page 10, lines 18-27 and page 21, line 1-page 22, line 12 and illustrated in, for example, Fig. 25 of the present application.

Regarding Specification Objections

It is respectfully submitted that the above-described amendment to the present specification to update the attorney docket numbers and serial numbers, provides the correction required by the Examiner.

Regarding Double Patenting Rejections

With respect to the provisional non-statutory double patenting rejections of pending claims 1-23 over claims 1-21 of US Application Nos. 10/760,213 and 10/760,219, corresponding to US Pub. Nos. 2005/0156970 and 2005/0156971, a terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.321(c) is being submitted herewith; the present application and US Application Nos. 10/760,213 and 10/760,219 being commonly owned by the Applicant.

Regarding 35 USC 102(b) Rejections

The Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the 35 USC 102(b) rejections of claims 1, 2 and 6 over Moghadam et al. (US 5,799,219), based on the above-described amendment of independent claim 1 which essentially incorporates subject matter from non-rejected pending claims 3 and 5 therein.

Regarding 35 USC 103(a) Rejections

The Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the 35 USC 103(a) rejections of claims 1-4, 6-10 and 13 over Silverbrook et al. (US 6,347,864) in view of Moghadam, based on the above-described amendment of independent claim 1 which essentially incorporates subject matter from pending claim 3 and non-rejected claim 5 therein and because the ink chambers 548 disclosed by Silverbrook are not removable from the cartridge 504 (see col. 2, line 29-col. 3, line 13 of Silverbrook), and therefore do not constitute removable printing fluid secondary cartridges as claimed in amended independent claim 1.

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's objections and rejections have been traversed. Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

Applicant:



KIA SILVERBROOK



TOBIN ALLEN KING

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd
393 Darling Street
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com
Telephone: +612 9818 6633
Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762